

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

CIRO CAMACHO,

Case No. 3:23-cv-00001-MMD-CSD

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

TIM GARRETT, *et al.*,

Respondents.

On January 5, 2023, this Court granted Petitioner *Ciro Camacho*'s motion for appointment of counsel and gave the Federal Public Defender 30 days to: (1) undertake direct representation of Petitioner by filing a notice of appearance; or (2) indicate the office's inability to represent Petitioner in these proceedings. (ECF No. 3.) On February 1, 2023, the Federal Public Defender filed a notice of appearance. (ECF No. 8.)

It is therefore ordered that the Federal Public Defender, through *Amelia L. Bizzaro, Esq.*, is appointed as counsel for Petitioner *Ciro Camacho* pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). Counsel will represent Petitioner in all federal proceedings related to this matter, including any appeals or certiorari proceedings, unless allowed to withdraw.

It is further ordered that Petitioner shall have up to and including 90 days from entry of this order within which to file an amended petition and/or seek other appropriate relief. Neither the foregoing deadline nor any extension thereof signifies or will signify any implied finding as to the expiration of the federal limitation period and/or of a basis for tolling during the time period established. Petitioner remains responsible for calculating the running of the federal limitation period and timely asserting claims, without regard to any deadlines established or extensions granted herein. That is, by setting a deadline to amend the petition and/or by granting any extension thereof, the Court makes no finding

1 or representation that the petition, any amendments thereto, and/or any claims contained
2 therein are not subject to dismissal as untimely. See *Sossa v. Diaz*, 729 F.3d 1225, 1235
3 (9th Cir. 2013).

4 It is further ordered that Respondents must file a response to the amended petition,
5 including potentially by motion to dismiss, within 60 days of service of an amended petition
6 and that Petitioner may file a reply thereto within 30 days of service of the answer. The
7 response and reply time to any motion filed by either party, including a motion filed in lieu
8 of a pleading, shall be governed instead by Local Rule LR 7-2(b).

9 It is further ordered that any procedural defenses raised by Respondents to the
10 counseled amended petition shall be raised together in a single consolidated motion to
11 dismiss. In other words, the Court does not wish to address any procedural defenses
12 raised herein either in seriatum fashion in multiple successive motions to dismiss or
13 embedded in the answer. Procedural defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will
14 be subject to potential waiver. Respondents may not file a response in this case that
15 consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except
16 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If
17 Respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they must
18 do so within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they must specifically
19 direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in *Cassett*
20 *v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses,
21 including exhaustion, shall be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural
22 defenses, including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.

23 It is further ordered that, in any answer filed on the merits, Respondents must
24 specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court
25 record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

26 It is further ordered that any state court record and related exhibits filed herein by
27 either Petitioner or Respondents must be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying
28 the exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed further must be identified

1 by the number or numbers of the exhibits in the attachment. If the exhibits filed will span
2 more than one ECF number in the record, the first document under each successive ECF
3 number must be either another copy of the index, a volume cover page, or some other
4 document serving as a filler, so that each exhibit under the ECF number thereafter will be
5 listed under an attachment number (*i.e.*, attachment 1, 2, etc.).

6 It is further ordered that the hard copy of any exhibits filed by either counsel must
7 be delivered—for this case—to the Reno Clerk's Office. Courtesy copies of exhibits may
8 *not* be provided.

9 DATED THIS 1st Day of February 2023.

10
11 

12

MIRANDA M. DU
13 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28